

*This table captures Master Plan comments and potential updates needing PLG discussion and concurrence.*

<b>PLG and Public Input</b>	<b>Potential Master Plan Updates</b>
<b>Concept Plan</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interest in emphasizing the “wow factor” of new attractions and amusements and including a list in one place.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add to page 20-21 (may need additional page)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>List the combined “wow factors” for the park with images as appropriate: new aquatics facility (indoor and outdoor), the wheeled train, the replica plane, Heritage Plaza, a new playground, skateboard spots, interactive water features, a climbing structure, and climbable public art.</li> <li>Add descriptions of the three plazas, Heritage, Central and Carousel, to this section</li> </ul>
<b>Honoring the Past</b>	
<p><b>Original Carousel Building</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Community members were drawn to the idea of incorporating music and historical exhibits within this space while providing food for purchase.</li> <li>The public would like to see the building retain the same size, structure and character as much as possible while accommodating this use.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Update text on page 22 to include:</b></p> <p><b>Original Carousel Building (1940s)</b>                      Located in the historic core of the park, the original carousel building was once a central source of movement, activity and music.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recommend schematic design explore how to accommodate a retro café, historic exhibit space, music and flexible event space within the building and surrounding plaza.</li> <li>Retain existing character and size and adaptively reuse the building to increase activity in this area of the park.</li> </ul> <p>Replace purple text box of ideas on page 23 with image</p>
<p><b>Heritage Plaza</b>                      Community members shared memories, stories and features that could be remembered within the Heritage Plaza including the plane, the miniature train, swimming in the creek, sporting</p>	<p><b>Add to pages 22-25:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>New text describing the purpose of Heritage Plaza to honor and celebrate community memories of the park as well as significant events and people.</li> </ul>

<p>events, Teenage Frolics, church trips, reunions and homecoming celebrations.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>List of potential personal memories of the park such as <i>miniature train, swimming and playing in the creek, airplane slide, swimming in the pool, ballgames (football, baseball and basketball), bandstand and dancing (Teenage Frolics), homecomings and football</i></li> </ul>
<p><b>Replica Plane</b> Interest in a replica Tuskegee P-51 Mustang Red Tail – some members of the public suggested it be placed indoor, and some suggested it be on the ground for children to climb on.</p>	<p><b>For PLG discussion (page 24):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recommend schematic design explore location considerations, whether to enclose, and options that might allow for children to climb or interact with the replica plane.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Aquatic / Community Center</b></p>	
<p><b>Aquatic Center</b> Participants were equally interested in amenities focused on seniors and children as well as swimming for exercise. This was true for both indoor and outdoor features.</p>	<p><b>Add to page 27:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Include amenities focused on seniors and children</li> <li>Bullet features to consider, to account for range in public priorities</li> </ul>
<p><b>Community Center</b> Participants were interested in large, flexible spaces, expanded fitness opportunities, an indoor performance space, kitchen areas including within individual meeting rooms, and expanded locker rooms with showers. No strong preferences emerged for specific elements.</p>	<p><b>Add to list of potential features:</b> expanded locker rooms, sauna, warming kitchen in meeting rooms, computer lab</p>
<p><b>Sports and Fitness</b></p>	
<p><b>Track and Courts</b> There is strong desire to retain and improve the track where it is while adding other sporting opportunities in the area around the track including baseball, softball, tennis, and basketball.</p> <p>Specialized courts for children were mentioned and training to learn how to play these sports.</p>	<p><b>Update page 29:</b> Include sports lighting and explore the use of artificial turf and other surfaces.</p> <p><b>For PLG Discussion:</b> Would the PLG consider a youth focus for recreation? For example, youth-sized tennis courts or practice wall, youth-sized basketball court and goal.</p>

<p><b>Fitness zones</b> Community members requested equipment for varying ages, abilities and types of exercise (stretching, cardio, strength training, etc...) along a fitness trail accompanied by lighting and seating. More fitness and dancing opportunities like Dancing in the Park.</p>	<p><b>Update page 30:</b> Revise fitness zones text to include physical activity for all ages and abilities, variety of equipment for different types of exercise, and add a reference to basic amenities section for lighting and seating recommendations.</p>
<p><b>Play and Amusements</b></p>	
<p>At the amusements station, many community members requested an outdoor performance space, specifically an amphitheater, as the most important amusement that is missing from the master plan. Seating and power supply were suggested for concerts, movies and theatrical productions.</p> <p>Additional motorized rides did not rank high at the public forum. Several participants requested in the general comments that a miniature train be included. Limitations on space, cost, and operations cannot likely accommodate both a wheeled train and a miniature train on tracks.</p>	<p><b>Update page 31-33</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add outdoor performance space (size and location to be determined during schematic design) as requested at forum.</li> </ul> <p><b>For PLG discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does the PLG wish to keep the wheeled train, or revisit the vote from PLG Meeting #9 on the train? (options included wheeled train, miniature train on track, public art or climbable train engine)</li> </ul>
<p><b>Creek Stewardship</b></p>	
<p><b>Vegetation Management</b> Community members concurred with the PLG recommendations to increase visibility and safety while improving aesthetics and tended to support a combination of approaches.</p>	<p>No changes</p>
<p><b>Circulation</b></p>	
<p><b>Wheeled train</b> There was general consensus that a wheeled train should serve all ages, small children through seniors. There was interest in a route that explored the entire park.</p>	<p><b>Update page 35:</b> Add that a wheeled train should serve all ages, small children through seniors (related to discussion under Play and Amusements above)</p>
<p><b>Safety and Visibility</b> The public suggested improving sightlines for 1) Lenoir Street entrance and 2) views into park from MLK Jr. Blvd.</p>	<p>Add these to page 37</p>